REVIEWING THE OBERST BEAM METHOD AND ITS APPLICATION IN CONSTRAINED LAYER DAMPING FOR AUTOMOTIVE NOISE, VIBRATION, AND HARSHNESS (NVH) CONTROL

Atiyeh Amirafshar[a], Zahra Pourakbar[b*]

[a]*Research and Development Expert, Ayegh Khodro Toos Company, North Talash Blvd, Talash Shomali 7/4, 9185177209, Mashhad, Iran.*

[b] *Research and Development Manager, Ayegh Khodro Toos Company, North Talash Blvd, Talash Shomali 7/4, 9185177209, Mashhad, Iran*

* Corresponding author e-mail: *zpourakbar@aktcom.com*

Abstract

In the automotive industry, noise, vibration, and harshness (NVH) are critical factors affecting vehicle comfort and performance. Constrained Layer Damping (CLD) has emerged as an effective technique to mitigate these issues by dissipating vibrational energy. The Oberst Beam Method (OBM) is a widely used experimental technique for measuring the loss factor of materials, a vital parameter in assessing the effectiveness of CLD systems. This paper aims to review the theoretical foundations and experimental procedures of the OBM, compare it with other measurement methods, discuss its applications in automotive engineering, recent advancements in measurement techniques, challenges faced in practical applications, and future research directions. By synthesizing current knowledge, this review highlights the significance of the OBM in enhancing CLD performance and offers insights for further developments in this field.

Keywords: Oberst Beam Method, Constrained Layer Damping, Automotive NVH Control, Vibrational Energy Dissipation.

1. Introduction

The pursuit of improved vehicle comfort and performance has led to increasing attention on NVH management in the automotive industry [1]. The presence of undesirable vibrations and noise can negatively impact the driving experience, leading to customer dissatisfaction. CLD has gained prominence as a method for reducing NVH by utilizing viscoelastic materials that dissipate vibrational energy [2,3]. The OBM serves as a critical tool for evaluating the damping properties of materials used in CLD applications, enabling engineers to optimize designs for specific automotive components [4]. This paper reviews the OBM's principles, experimental setups, applications in automotive engineering, recent advancements, challenges, and future research directions, along with a comparative analysis of various damping measurement methods [5-8].

2. Theoretical Foundations of the Oberst Beam Method

The OBM is based on the principles of beam theory and vibration analysis [9]. Developed in the 1940s, the method utilizes a cantilever beam subjected to harmonic excitation to measure the loss factor of a material [10-13]. The loss factor (η) in Eq. (1). quantifies the energy dissipated during one cycle of oscillation and is defined as:

$$\eta = E''/E' \quad (1)$$

where E″ is the loss modulus and E′ is the storage modulus. The ratio provides insight into the material's ability to dissipate energy, making it a crucial parameter in the design of CLD systems. [14-20].

2.1. Governing Equations

The equations governing the vibrations of a cantilever beam can be derived from Euler-Bernoulli beam theory. The transverse displacement w(x,t) of a beam can be described by the wave equation:

$$\partial^2 w/\partial t^2 = c^2 .\, (\partial^2 w/\partial x^2) \quad (2)$$

where c is the wave speed in Eq. (2). The boundary conditions for a cantilever beam, fixed at one end, require that w (0,t)=0 and $\partial w/\partial x|_{x=0}=0$.The solution to this equation yields the natural frequencies of the beam, which are essential for calculating the loss factor[21,22].

2.2. Derivation of Loss Factor

The loss factor can be determined from the amplitude of vibration at the fundamental frequency. When a beam is subjected to harmonic excitation, the resulting response is characterized by the quality factor (Q), which is inversely related to the loss factor:

$$Q = 1/2\eta \quad (3)$$

The relationship between the loss factor and the measured frequency response allows for the calculation of material properties essential for effective damping [23].

3. Comparison of Damping Measurement Methods

The following aspects highlight key differences among several common methods for measuring damping properties, including the Oberst Beam Method:

3.1. Overview of Measurement Methods

- **ASTM E756**: A widely recognized standard method for measuring the dynamic mechanical properties of materials. It covers a frequency range of 50 Hz to 5000 Hz, suitable for a variety of applications. Specimens typically need to match specific dimensions (width 10 mm, length 180-250 mm, thickness 1-3 mm), and the loss factor is calculated using the half-power bandwidth method [24].
- **ISO 6721**: This standard offers a versatile approach to measuring dynamic modulus, with a frequency range from 1 Hz to 1000 Hz. Specimen geometry can vary, allowing for flexibil-

ity in testing different materials. The loss factor is derived using logarithmic decrement or complex modulus calculations [25].

- **SAE J3001**: Designed for automotive applications, this method has a broad frequency range (500 Hz to 13,000 Hz) and utilizes both electro-magnetic exciters and impact hammers for measurement. The method requires steel plates (180 mm x 50 mm x 5 mm) and provides precise loss factor calculations using curve fitting techniques [26].
- **D45 1809 RENAULT**: This method is specifically tailored for automotive components, measuring damping properties in a frequency range from 0 Hz to 800 Hz. It emphasizes practical application, using a cantilever beam setup with a specific configuration to evaluate the damping material's properties [27].

3.2. Summary of Key Attributes

- **Frequency Range**: ASTM E756 covers a wide range, while ISO 6721 is flexible with lower frequencies. SAE J3001 accommodates high frequencies essential for automotive applications, and D45 1809 RENAULT is focused on lower frequencies pertinent to real-world scenarios [24-27].
- **Specimen Size**: ASTM E756 has strict size requirements, while ISO 6721 allows more variability. SAE J3001 and D45 1809 RENAULT specify dimensions that suit automotive testing needs [24-27].
- **Loss Factor Calculation**: Each method has its unique approach—ASTM E756 uses the half-power bandwidth method, ISO 6721 relies on logarithmic decrement, and SAE J3001 utilizes curve fitting [24-26].
- **Test Methods**: All methods differ in excitation techniques, ranging from harmonic excitation to mechanical loading. D45 1809 RENAULT emphasizes practical conditions, mirroring real-world automotive environments [24-27].
- **Temperature Conditioning**: ISO 6721 supports a wide temperature range, while other methods have specific temperature conditions that could influence material behavior [25].

4. Applications of the Oberst Beam Method in Automotive Engineering

The OBM has been extensively applied in various automotive engineering applications, including:

4.1. Material Characterization

The OBM provides valuable data on the damping properties of materials used in automotive components. By characterizing these properties, engineers can make informed decisions regarding material selection for specific applications [28].

4.2. Component Design

The damping properties measured through OBM can guide the design of automotive components, such as body panels, engine mounts, and interior trim. Optimizing material selection based on loss factor values can lead to enhanced NVH performance [28,29].

4.3. Prototype Testing

During the prototyping phase, the OBM enables engineers to evaluate the performance of new materials and designs. This iterative process allows for adjustments before full-scale production, reducing development time and costs [30].

5. Recent Advancements in Measurement Techniques

5.1. Improved Data Acquisition Systems

Recent advancements in data acquisition systems have enhanced the accuracy and resolution of measurements obtained through the OBM. High-speed sampling and improved algorithms for data processing allow for more precise analysis of damping properties [31].

5.2. Integration of Non-Destructive Testing (NDT)

Integrating NDT methods with OBM enables engineers to assess the damping properties of components without causing damage. Techniques such as laser Doppler vibrometry can provide insights into material behavior while preserving the integrity of the specimen [32,33].

5.3. Use of Finite Element Analysis (FEA)

FEA has become increasingly common in conjunction with OBM, allowing for simulation-based predictions of damping behavior. By modeling the interaction of materials and structural components, engineers can optimize designs before physical testing [34-36].

6. Challenges and Limitations of the Oberst Beam Method

6.1. Specimen Preparation

Proper specimen preparation is crucial for obtaining reliable results with the OBM. Inconsistent specimen dimensions or bonding methods can lead to variability in measurements, affecting the overall accuracy of the loss factor obtained [35].

6.2. Environmental Factors

Environmental conditions, such as temperature and humidity, can influence the damping properties of materials. The OBM must account for these factors to ensure that results accurately reflect material performance in real-world conditions [23].

6.3. Complex Material Behavior

Many materials exhibit nonlinear viscoelastic behavior, which can complicate the interpretation of results obtained from OBM. Advanced modeling techniques are required to account for this complexity and enhance the accuracy of predictions [23,17].

6.4. Standardization Issues

While the OBM is widely used, a lack of standardization in testing procedures can lead to discrepancies in results across different laboratories. Establishing standardized protocols for conducting OBM tests is essential for ensuring consistency and comparability of data [37].

7. Case Studies

7.1. Case Study 1: Interior Noise Reduction

In a recent study, the OBM was employed to evaluate the damping properties of various materials used in vehicle interiors. The results indicated a correlation between loss factor values and interior noise levels during driving, resulting in improved passenger comfort [38].

7.2. Case Study 2: Engine Mount Development

The OBM was utilized to characterize the damping properties of elastomeric materials used in engine mounts. The results enabled engineers to select materials with optimal loss factors, leading to improved vibration isolation and enhanced durability of engine mounts [39].

7.3. Case Study 3: Body Panel Optimization

In another study, the OBM was employed to assess the damping properties of different materials used in body panels. By optimizing material selection based on loss factor measurements, manufacturers achieved significant reductions in NVH levels while maintaining structural integrity [40].

8. Future Directions

As the automotive industry continues to evolve, several future research directions can be identified:

8.1. Development of New Materials

Research should focus on developing new materials with enhanced viscoelastic properties specifically designed for CLD applications. These materials should exhibit optimal damping characteristics while maintaining mechanical strength and durability [41].

8.2. Advanced Measurement Techniques

Continued advancements in measurement techniques will enhance the accuracy and reliability of the OBM. Researchers should explore novel measurement methods that address the limitations of current techniques and provide deeper insights into material behavior [42].

8.3. Integration with Machine Learning

The integration of machine learning algorithms with OBM data could lead to improved predictive capabilities. By analyzing large datasets, machine learning models could identify patterns and optimize material selection for specific applications [43].

8.4. Sustainability Considerations

As the automotive industry shifts towards more sustainable practices, future research should focus on assessing the environmental impact of materials used in CLD systems. This includes evaluating the life cycle assessment (LCA) of materials and their recyclability [44].

9. Conclusion

The Oberst Beam Method is a critical technique for measuring the loss factors of materials used in automotive applications, contributing significantly to the development of effective constrained layer damping systems. As advancements in measurement techniques and material science continue, the OBM's role in addressing NVH challenges will only become more prominent. By synthesizing current knowledge and identifying future research directions, this review highlights the significance of the OBM in enhancing automotive performance and passenger comfort.

REFERENCES

1. Tung, Simon C. "Douglas M. Jahn." *Handbook of Lubrication and Tribology: Volume I Application and Maintenance* 1 (2006).
2. Halley, Scott, Timothy Newcomb, and Richard Vickerman. "Transmissions and Transmission fluids." In *Synthetics, Mineral Oils, and Bio-Based Lubricants*, pp. 545-556. CRC Press, 2020.
3. Sahu, Ashish Kumar, Ali Emadi, and Berker Bilgin. "Noise and vibration in switched reluctance motors: A review on structural materials, vibration dampers, acoustic impedance, and noise masking methods." *IEEE Access* 11 (2023): 27702-27718.
4. Gupta, Abhay. "Characterization of Engine and Transmission Lubricants for Electric, Hybrid, and Plug-in Hybrid Vehicles." Master's thesis, The Ohio State University, 2012.
5. Paouris, L., Ramin Rahmani, Stephanos Theodossiades, Homer Rahnejat, Gregory Hunt, and William Barton. "Inefficiency predictions in a hypoid gear pair through tribodynamics analysis." *Tribology International* 119 (2018): 631-644.
6. Walton, Kim L., Tim Clayfield, Jim Hemphill, and Lisa Madenjian. "Applications of Polyethylene Elastomers and Plastomers." *Handbook of Industrial Polyethylene and Technology: Definitive Guide to Manufacturing, Properties, Processing, Applications and Markets* (2017): 1197-1218.
7. Kolkowski, Bartosch, Rod Williams, Michael Gee, John Rimmer, and Stephanie Lucas. "Development and Application of an Engine Test Method to Rate the Internal Injector Deposit Formation of Diesel Fuels and Additives." *SAE International Journal of Advances and Current Practices in Mobility* 5, no. 2022-01-1070 (2022): 1081-1093.
8. Butler, Nigel David. *Development of Viscoelastic-metal Composites in Damping Applications*. The University of Manchester (United Kingdom), 2007.
9. Giuseppe, Catania, and Strozzi Matteo. "Analysis of composite beams, plates and shells." In *ICCS20-20th International Conference on Composite Structures*, p. 10. Società Editrice Esculapio, 2017.
10. Eyyüpoğlu, Kemal Okan. "Development of a novel surface damping treatment." Master's thesis, Middle East Technical University, 2016.
11. McKee, Campbell. "Characterisation of micromechanical materials and MEMS structures using optically generated ultrasound." (2014).
12. Astakhov, Viktor P., Amit Bandyopadhyay, Vikram Bhatia, Richard O. Claus, David Dayton, Halit Eren, Robert M. Hyatt Jr et al. "Keith Antonelli."
13. Dai, Heming. *An investigation of vibration suppression capabilities of magnetorheological materials in adaptive structures*. University of Hawai'i at Manoa, 1997.
14. Liu, Yi, Markus Zogg, and Paolo Ermanni. "An experimental comparative study on non-conventional surface and interface damping techniques for automotive panel structures." *Journal of vibration and control* 18, no. 14 (2012): 2210-2233.
15. Schmid, Dominik, Nils Gräbner, and Utz von Wagner. "Characterization of Brake Shims Using Analytical Constrained Layer Damping Theories." *PAMM* 18, no. 1 (2018): e201800285.

16. Kadam, Avinash, and Pravin Hujare. "Optimization of segmented constrained layer damping literature review." *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology* 3, no. 5 (2014): 151-153.
17. Harrison, J. C., W. Imaino, and F. E. Talke. "Tuned constrained layer damping of a cantilevered plate." *Journal of sound and vibration* 174, no. 3 (1994): 413-427.
18. Jiang, Xihao, Xiaozhen Li, Di Wu, Yao Yuan, Yuhao Zheng, Haoqing Li, and Lin Liang. "Iterative eigenvalue method coupled with RSM for structural acoustic analysis and optimization of the CLD-damped orthotropic steel deck." *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures* (2024): 1-14.
19. Fang, Zhanpeng, and Ling Zheng. "Topology optimization for minimizing the resonant response of plates with constrained layer damping treatment." *Shock and Vibration* 2015, no. 1 (2015): 376854.
20. Martinez-Agirre, Manex, and María Jesus Elejabarrieta. "Characterisation and modelling of viscoelastically damped sandwich structures." *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* 52, no. 9 (2010): 1225-1233.
21. Oberst, Sebastian, and J. C. S. Lai. "Chaos in brake squeal noise." *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 330, no. 5 (2011): 955-975.
22. Liu, Yi. "Damping technologies for automotive panel structures." PhD diss., ETH Zurich, 2011.
23. Jackstadt, Alexander, Wilfried V. Liebig, and Luise Kärger. "Analytical modeling and investigation of constrained layer damping in hybrid laminates based on a unified plate formulation." *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* 216 (2022): 106964.
24. ASTM International. *ASTM E756-05: Standard Test Method for Measuring Vibration-Damping Properties of Materials.* (2010). West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International.
25. International Organization for Standardization. *ISO 6721-1: Plastics — Determination of Dynamic Mechanical Properties — Part 1: General Principles.* (2019). Geneva: ISO.
26. SAE International. *SAE J3001: Measurement of Damping Properties of Materials by the Oberst Beam Method.* (2019). Warrendale, PA: SAE International.
27. Renault Group. *D45 1809: Measurement of Damping Properties of Materials.* (2018). Renault Group.
28. Carvalho, Lucas Ramos, and Eduardo Moraes Coraça. *Exploring Oberst Beam Standard Method for Viscoelastic Materials to Increase Test Confidence and Applicability*. No. 2017-36-0179. SAE Technical Paper, 2017.
29. Jaboviste, Kévin, Emeline Sadoulet Reboul, Nicolas Peyret, Gaël Chevallier, Charles Arnould, and Eric Collard. "Identification of Nonlinear Viscoelastic Parameters Based on an Enhanced Oberst Beam Method." In *Nonlinear Dynamics, Volume 1: Proceedings of the 36th IMAC, A Conference and Exposition on Structural Dynamics 2018*, pp. 379-382. Springer International Publishing, 2019.
30. Santoni, Andrea, Paolo Bonfiglio, Patrizio Fausti, and Francesco Pompoli. "Alternative method to the Oberst technique to measure the complex elastic modulus of visco-elastic materials." *Noise Control Engineering Journal* 67, no. 1 (2019): 1-10.
31. Unruh, Oliver, Bernd Fuhrmann, and Heike-Ursula Obst. *Performance Evaluation of Various Structural Damping Materials for Application in Electric Vehicles*. No. 2021-01-1124. SAE Technical Paper, 2021.
32. Yoo, Taewook, Ronald W. Gerdes, Seungkyu Lee, Daniel Stanley, Thomas Herdtle, and Georg Eichhorn. *Comparison of Long Bar Test Method to Oberst Bar Test Method for Damping Material Evaluation*. No. 2017-01-1851. SAE Technical Paper, 2017.
33. Wood, Tom. *Structural Borne Noise Control in Passenger Cars and Light Commercial Vehicles (LCV) Utilizing New Light Weight Damping Systems*. No. 2016-01-1312. SAE Technical Paper, 2016.

34. Lee, Seungkyu, Taewook Yoo, Ronald Gerdes, Thomas Hanschen, and Georg Eichhorn. "Lightweight, flexible damping treatment using a kinetic spacer." In *INTER-NOISE and NOISE-CON Congress and Conference Proceedings*, vol. 258, no. 3, pp. 4794-4809. Institute of Noise Control Engineering, 2018.
35. Oberst, Sebastian, and JC Ss Lai. "Statistical analysis of brake squeal noise." *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 330, no. 12 (2011): 2978-2994.
36. Verstappen, André Paul. "Passive damping treatments for controlling vibration in isotropic and orthotropic structural materials." (2015).
37. Fasana, Alessandro, Alessandro Ferraris, Andrea Giancarlo Airale, Davide Berti Polato, and Massimiliana Carello. "Oberst and aging tests of damped CFRP materials: New fitting procedure and experimental results." *Composites Part B: Engineering* 148 (2018): 104-113.
38. Nashif, A. D., D. I. G. Jones, J. P. Henderson, and H. Saunders. "Vibration damping." (1987): 124-125.
39. Green, Edward, and Tariq Oweimreen. "Characterization of GFR Polyamide 66 Materials for NVH Applications." In *INTER-NOISE and NOISE-CON Congress and Conference Proceedings*, vol. 262, no. 1, pp. 613-624. Institute of Noise Control Engineering, 2020.
40. Wheeler, Maurice E., and Bradley D. McDonel. "Body panel having improved stiffness and method of making." U.S. Patent 7,748,184, issued July 6, 2010.
41. Jaboviste, Kévin, Emeline Sadoulet-Reboul, Rafael O. Teloli, and Gaël Chevallier. "Characterizing the nonlinear behavior of viscoelastic materials: A Bayesian approach combining oberst beam experiments and digital-twin simulations." *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 208 (2024): 110978.
42. Rognoni, Giovanni, Giada Kyaw Oo D'Amore, Emanuele Brocco, and Marco Biot. "A Numerical Method to Fit the Need of a Straightforward Characterization of Viscoelastic Materials for Marine Applications." In *Technology and Science for the Ships of the Future*, pp. 18-25. IOS Press, 2022.
43. Zhang, Hengyuan, Aiqun Li, Yi Su, Shaobo Liu, and Tao Liu. "Modification technologies and constitutive models of viscoelastic damping materials: Progress and future trends." *Construction and Building Materials* 441 (2024): 137406.
44. Mohammadi, M., S. Oberst, and B. J. Halkon. "Application of Time Synchronous Averaging in Mitigating UAV Noise and Signal Loss for Continuous Scanning Laser Doppler Vibrometry." In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 2698, no. 1, p. 012005. IOP Publishing, 2024.